

Review Article

Research priorities for the conservation of Nepal's lesser terrestrial vertebrates

Joel T. Heinen^{1,*} and Sagar Dahal¹

¹Department of Earth and Environment, Florida International University, Miami, FL, USA, 33193

(Received: November 04, 2022; Revised: June 10, 2023; Accepted: June 15, 2023)

ABSTRACT

Located along the boundary of two zoogeographic provinces, and with the highest peaks and deepest valleys on Earth, Nepal is a center of adaptive radiation for many taxa. Early zoological research was mostly focused on high-profile large mammals due to availability of funding and policy priorities, but knowledge gaps remain for most other vertebrate taxa. Technologies ranging from genetic mapping to GPS, GIS, digital cameras and micro-transmitters have advanced with time and greatly expanded research capacities. Here, we present our suggestions of research needs for the lesser terrestrial vertebrate fauna of Nepal and the broader Himalayan region, pointing out knowledge gaps and suggesting where to go from here. The growing numbers of Nepali researchers focusing on small mammalian and avian research is encouraging, but the status of many taxa remains unknown and much of the country remains under-surveyed for breeding and migratory populations. Major knowledge gaps persist for reptiles and amphibians and for the role of local wildlife markets in exploitation. We conclude with suggestions on priorities for research on, and conservation of, Nepal's lesser terrestrial vertebrates.

Key words: Amphibians, birds, conservation, mammals, Nepal, research, reptiles

INTRODUCTION

The concept of adaptive radiation, inherent to Darwinian natural selection, was formalized in the early 20th Century (Osborn, 1902). There are known centers of adaptive radiation worldwide depending on geology, geographical isolation and the adaptations of ancestral groups (Glor, 2010). Mountainous regions in or near the tropics are predicted to have the highest terrestrial biodiversity on Earth because of the tropical and subtropical origins of many lineages and the great climatic variation from lowlands to alpine zones (Dimitrov, Nogués-Bravo & Scharff, 2012). Valleys cutting through central massifs provide further isolation and speciation potential. For these reasons, and because they form the border between two zoogeographic provinces as the Subcontinent encroaches upon the Eurasian Plate (Sinha, 1989) the Himalayas have very high species diversity and some areas are even more diverse than others depending on local conditions (Basnet *et al.*, 2016).

Further compounding the elevational gradient is the east-west rainfall gradient from northeastern India, with up to five meters of rain annually in the eastern edge of the gradient (Nandargi & Dhar, 2011). For these reasons, the eastern Himalayas, including Nepal, are a major biodiversity hotspot (Fjeldsa, 2013; Paudel & Sipos, 2014) and Nepal alone boasts many distinct ecoregions (Pearch, 2011; Dinerstein *et al.*, 2017). New range records are made regularly in the country (Heinen, 1990; Thapa *et al.*, 2016; Chetri *et al.*, 2014; Subba *et al.*, 2014; Lama *et al.*, 2016; Lamichhane *et al.* 2016), as are descriptions of new species (Khatriwada *et al.*, 2017; Pradhan *et al.*, 2019). The concern over global warming

is pronounced for mountainous regions (Hughes, 2000; Beever *et al.*, 2010; Telwala *et al.*, 2013) which present opportunities to study how species respond (Inouye *et al.*, 2000) and whether assisted colonization is effective in conserving sensitive taxa (Hunter, 2007).

Since 1973, Nepal has made great strides in developing its park system and other protected areas (PAs) managed by the Department of National Parks and Wildlife Conservation (DNPWC), which now cover over 20% of its land area (Heinen *et al.*, 2019). Tourism is a main source of foreign exchange (Nepal, 2002) and several Nepalese PAs have been tourist destinations for decades (Heinen & Thapa, 1988), bringing in millions (USD) annually to the national and local economies (Baral *et al.*, 2017). The DNPWC supports wildlife research and seminal studies on many large mammals were done in Nepal. These include major works on tigers (Smith, 1993) and their prey (Aryal *et al.* 2016), rhinoceros (Dinerstein, 2003), sloth bear (Joshi, Garshelis & Smith, 1995), wild buffalo (Heinen & Paudel, 2015a) and snow leopard (Oli, 1994). There has also been attention focused on birds in part because of interest spawned by the Nepalese affiliate of Bird Conservation International (Baral *et al.* 2012). The Government of Nepal (GoN) has been very effective in moving conservation policy forward with the passage of the National Biodiversity Strategy, (the) Control of International Trade of Endangered Wild Fauna and Flora Act (2017), and the National Wetland Policy (Heinen *et al.*, 2019). Despite implementation problems (Heinen and Sah, 2006; Dongol & Heinen, 2012), progress has been made in reducing poaching and many target species are

*Corresponding Author's E-mail: heinenj@fiu.edu

recovering (Ale, Yonzon & Thapa, 2007).

Despite successes with some high-profile species, many others are under high degrees of threat as recognized by IUCN (Yonzon & Hunter, 1991; Jnawali *et al.*, 2011; Paudel & Heinen, 2015a) and faunal collapse has been documented in many protected areas (Heinen, 1995; Thorn, 2019). The research focus on large mammals informed the planning of the PA system but came at the cost of comparatively little research on other taxa. Given that large mammals are among the most extinction-prone fauna (Terborgh, 1974) and can act as umbrellas for entire ecosystems (Roberge & Angelstam, 2004), this made sense initially. Now that the PA system is large and tree cover has increased in many places largely because of the success of the community forestry program, (Pokharel & Suvedi, 2007), the time has come for more comprehensive research on the biodiversity of Nepal. While large mammals inspire awe and funding, and we encourage more research on them as their populations expand, we contend that major scientific and conservation breakthroughs now lie with lesser taxa.

Here we focus on terrestrial vertebrates other than large mammals. We begin with smaller mammals, followed by birds, reptiles and amphibians, and we end with our thoughts on research priorities. We avoid the use of scientific names in favor of accepted English alternatives for clarity and space considerations. We encourage study of all taxa - and from the genetic to ecosystem levels of biology - in the spirit of the 1992 Convention on Biological Diversity, of which Nepal is Party. We also hope that the First National Conference on Zoology, 2020, for which the draft of this manuscript was initially prepared, inspires more work by young Nepali researchers.

Mammals

Mammals are arguably the most important group discussed here for several reasons. The largest species inspire awe and play major ecological roles. Both large mammalian herbivores (Heinen & Castillo, 2019) and carnivores (Smith, Peterson & Houston, 2003) can act as keystone species via their trophic inactions and many mammals are seed dispersers (Corlett, 1998). Many are exploited and some are vectors for viruses such as Hantavirus (Kang *et al.*, 2011) and rabies, the latter of which alone takes an estimated 50,000 lives annually in South Asia (Pant *et al.*, 2011). Even bubonic plague, considered a Middle Ages relic by many, occasionally erupts in Nepal when rodents are unusually abundant (Hull, Montes & Mann, 1986).

Since the Small Mammals Conservation and Research Foundation (SMCRF) was established in Kathmandu in 2009, research into the ecology of many taxa has greatly increased. Among the first district-level field surveys on bats is available (Adhikari, 2011) as is a guide for that taxon (Acharya *et al.*, 2012). General guides are also available (Baral & Shah, 2008), as are comprehensive references on species status (Amin *et al.*, 2018) and surveys exploring the diversity of small mammals. This began with Hodgson's (1845) work in and around Kathmandu Valley and continues to the present. The Foundation has also placed efforts on red panda and pangolin conservation. Apart from that, various foundation members prepared the Kathmandu Valley Bat Action Plan (SMCRF, 2019), separated the species of red panda found in Nepal from that in China (Hu *et al.*, 2020), and completed a national survey and monitoring

guidelines for pangolins in the country (DNPWC, 2019; Suwal *et al.*, 2020).

Many small mammal surveys have been done in central Nepal, and more exploratory work is needed throughout the country (Agrawal & Chakraborty, 1971; Ingles *et al.*, 1980). While labor-intensive, we encourage the use of non-lethal trapping grids maintained consistently over time to learn more about species presence, densities and population cycles. Sites within PAs are obvious places to work given their protected status, but we also encourage small mammal surveys in locations such as sacred forests and community forests under long-term protection (Timilsina & Heinen, 2008). The collection of hair and fecal samples useful for genetic studies (Chetri *et al.*, 2019) should be a priority given that there are likely cryptic species complexes of some rodent and shrew taxa (Motokawa *et al.*, 2008).

Compared to the smaller mammals, more is known about general distributions of larger rodents such as tree squirrels and marmots (Thapa *et al.*, 2016; Thapamagar *et al.*, 2021) and lagomorphs such as the endangered hispid hare (Khadka *et al.*, 2017a), but information is still lacking from many parts of Nepal. Poudel *et al.* (2015) showed that marmots change their foraging behavior due to seasonal livestock grazing, and trekkers within PAs could have similar effects on them as well as pikas (Koju, Chalise & Kyes, 2013). Extensive genetic studies on pikas in particular would be interesting given the number of known species throughout the mountains of South and Central Asia and the potential for cryptic species complexes within this group (Thapa *et al.*, 2018; Bhattacharyya & Ishtiaq, 2019). These taxa are generally more visible than the smallest mammals or smaller carnivores (below) and they can be drawn to mineral licks from which hair samples could be collected with Velcro traps (Moe, 1993; Harris & Nicol, 2010).

Perhaps the most interesting recent findings on mammals in Nepal have been on small carnivores. The diversity of felids, herpestids, viverrids and mustelids is high across Asia (Mudappa, 2013; Thapa, 2014) and many new species records have been made in Nepal (below), some of which used to be found as fur coats for sale in Kathmandu's tourist areas (Heinen & Leisure, 1993). The rusty-spotted cat, previously known from peninsular India, was documented in Sukla Phanta and Bardia National Parks (Lamichhane *et al.*, 2016) and the Pallas's cat, previously known from the Tibetan Plateau and Central Asia, was documented in the Annapurna region (Regmi *et al.*, 2020). Other recent findings include the first ruddy mongoose and steppe polecat (Chetri *et al.*, 2014) records for Nepal, as well as range extensions for crab-eating mongoose (Rayamajhi *et al.*, 2019) and yellow-bellied weasel (Baral *et al.*, 2019). They are likely all more widespread in the country, but many places have not been systematically searched. Dedicated studies on linsang, civets and binturong are also a high priority as little is known about them throughout their ranges (Jennings and Veron, 2015). Most small carnivores are nocturnal and camera trapping has greatly increased our knowledge about them (Appel *et al.*, 2013) but more work remains. Many can be attracted to camera traps using scent posts (Conner, Labisky & Progulske, 1983) and Velcro hair traps can be used in combination to collect samples for genetic analyses. This may prove especially valuable for weasels. There is evidence that the wide-ranging Siberian weasel is a species complex based on recent anatomical

studies (Abramov, Puzachenko & Masuda, 2018) but genetic samples from across its range would be needed to confirm this. Otters are another priority. Three species may occur in the country, but their status is poorly known and there is large-scale exploitation of these animals for their pelts in Nepal (Savage & Shrestha, 2018) and throughout Asia (Gomez & Bouhuys, 2018). Unlike many other carnivores, otters apparently do not readily come to scent stations (Robson & Humphrey, 1985), but they tend to be diurnal, making visual observations by boat or canoe feasible.

The use of telemetry was successful in some studies of large mammals cited above, but the technology has rarely been used on smaller carnivores in Nepal, with a few exceptions (Joshi, Smith & Cuthbert, 1995). While it is more costly and riskier for both animal subjects and human researchers, we encourage more feasibility studies into its use, especially given the recent advances in small transmitter technology. This could prove especially valuable within PAs where human interference is minimized. In other places (buffer zones and national, sacred or community forests) scent stations with Velcro hair traps may be optimal with or without camera traps depending on the likelihood of human interference. In any case, much more information on the abundance, distribution and genetics of smaller mammals is needed throughout Nepal.

Birds

Due to interest among Nepalis and international visitors, more is perhaps known about birds than other vertebrate taxa (Inskipp *et al.*, 2017). Bird Conservation Nepal has been active since the early 1980s and foreign birders visit regularly and provide a good deal of data for certain regions; however, except for some areas in and around Kathmandu and Pokhara Valleys and the more-visited PAs, coverage is inconsistent or lacking (Baral *et al.*, 2012; Inskipp *et al.*, 2017). Of studies focusing on particular groups, published accounts are available for pheasants and cranes because of their cultural importance (Kaul & Shakya, 2001; Singh *et al.*, 2011; Katuwal, 2016), *Gyps* vultures because of their precipitous decline and partial recovery (Galligan *et al.*, 2020) and rarities such as floricans because some are endangered (Baral, Tamang & Timilsina, 2003). Strides have been made in general knowledge of Nepal's avifauna, but much more needs to be done to estimate population trends. Several entire groups - birds dependent on old growth forests, wetlands and grasslands - are thought to be in decline nation-wide (Inskipp & Baral, 2010; Adhikari, Bhattarai & Thapa, 2018) but long-term data are largely lacking. Most existing information consists of lists indicating presence, migratory or overwintering ranges (Heinen, 1990; Khadka, Acharya & Rajbhandari, 2017b) but little else.

While general lists provide necessary information, they are not useful for estimating population trends important in conservation. Bock and Root (1981) discussed shortcomings of Christmas Bird Counts in the United States, but the fact that they have been done consistently for over a century makes them useful for long-term studies such as how winter ranges change with global warming, and they provide some density information to study population trends. Breeding bird surveys (Link & Sauer, 1998) are better for estimating population trends but they're more costly and, in both cases, reliance on volunteers assumes people are equally adept at species recognition. While field identification is easier for large birds and those in breeding condition, Nepal's avifauna (nearly 900 species) is more diverse

than any area of similar size in North America or Europe, requiring people to have more training to be proficient. We encourage efforts along these lines, and especially in areas where well-practiced Nepali birders reside such as Kathmandu and Pokhara Valleys and in or around PAs with tourist lodges. Such efforts could be extended if, for example, Bird Conservation Nepal expanded partnerships with the DNPWC and, potentially, the tourism sector, to encourage trained volunteers to visit PAs for set periods annually to survey birds along fixed routes, with one or both institutions archiving data for researchers.

Reptiles and Amphibians

Other than Maskey's (1989) pioneering work on gharial, there has been little specific research on Nepal's herpetofauna. This is quite fortunately changing with the recent foundation of the Companions for Amphibian and Reptiles of Nepal (CARON; www.caron.org.np), a non-governmental organization dedicated to the research and conservation of Nepal's herpetofauna. To date, even the status of high-profile taxa of conservation concern such as yellow monitors and Indian pythons, is little-known (Khatiwada & Ghimire, 2009; Ghimire, Phuyal & Shah, 2014). Good identification references exist (Schleich & Kastle, 2002), but most publications contain species lists even more scattered spatially and temporally than those available for the birds of Nepal (Zug & Mitchell, 1995; Nepali & Singh, 2020).

Of highest priority, given their global diversity and many threats, are surveys that explore amphibian species presence and distribution. They would be especially useful in eastern Nepal with its high rainfall (Khatiwada *et al.*, 2017) and in wetland areas throughout the country (Bhattari *et al.*, 2017). To date, one species each of salamander and caecilian is known from the country and there are likely more. While 42 species of frogs and toads have been recorded, a species new to science was recently described (Khatiwada *et al.*, 2019) and there are likely more of them, as well as more known species yet to be documented within Nepal. Skin swabs for studying the Chytrid fungus affecting frog populations worldwide (Scheele & Hollanders, 2021) would also be useful in assessing threats. Another high priority are studies on the status and distribution of turtles and tortoises in decline globally (Rhodin *et al.*, 2018) and widely exploited for food, medicine and the pet trade (Aryal *et al.*, 2010; Altherr and Lameter, 2020). Other than work by Kharel and Chhetry (2012), and inclusion on general species lists, we found very little information for this entire group from Nepal. CARON (above) considers this a high priority and has several ongoing efforts in turtle research and conservation.

Snakes are generally better-known than other herpetofauna perhaps because Nepal harbors several species of venomous vipers, cobras and kraits. Yet distribution and abundance data are lacking in many places and sporadic where available (Kharel & Chhetry, 2012). This is true even for some viper and krait species found at higher elevations. The World Health Organization estimates 50,000+ people per year are killed by snakebites in India, and the penchant for some species, such as cobras and kraits, to occur in or near villages is a major reason. While numbers are much lower, people die regularly from snakebites in Nepal, which are likely under-reported (Sharma *et al.*, 2004). Pandey *et al.* (2018) discovered that Russell's viper, one of the "big four" deadliest snakes in India, also occurs in Nepal.

But the perception within Nepal that all snakes be killed on sight needs attention (Pandey *et al.*, 2016). A photographic field guide is now available (Sharma *et al.*, 2013) but education programs are lacking (Rashnath & Divakar, 2019), as are people who respond to calls to remove dangerous snakes from villages. It's encouraging to note that several such groups are now active in parts of India and Nepal (see Youtube: Snake Rescue: King Cobra Rescue and Release: Pokhara: Nepal: Rohit Giri).

Heyer *et al.* (2014) and McDiarmid *et al.* (2012) are excellent references for describing techniques to study reptiles and amphibians in the wild. While we are encouraged to see growing interest, knowledge of Nepal's herpetofauna is inadequate. And, as with some mammals (above), genetic studies are crucial to understanding their diversity given that some species prove to be cryptic multi-species complexes, such as Nepalese fan-throated lizards now classified into three separate species (Deepak and Karanth, 2018).

DISCUSSION

We have made many recommendations highlighting research priorities for many taxa (above), but there are more-general issues to consider. To expand knowledge on the ecology and conservation status of various vertebrates, we argue that broader use of habitat and genetic studies, market surveys, and local outreach and education are needed nation-wide.

China is a major sink for both wild animal and plant products from Nepal and elsewhere (Heinen & Chapagain, 2002; Liu *et al.*, 2014; Chen, Liu & Heinen, 2019), while India has been a major source of animal products such as furs (Heinen & Leisure, 1993) and it remains a major sink for medicinal plants (Kuniyal *et al.*, 2019). Due to these factors, a great deal of effort has been placed on reducing transboundary trade of animal products by DNPWC and several non-governmental organizations (Dongol & Heinen, 2012; Paudel *et al.*, 2020) and in regulating the medicinal plant trade by the Department of Forests and Department of Plant Resources (Heinen & Shrestha-Acharya, 2011). Yet there is little attention paid to the effects of local markets on the depletion of wild products in Nepal. Furs can be found for sale in some local markets (Savage & Shrestha, 2018) and songbirds and turtles can regularly be seen for sale as food or pets (Aryal *et al.*, 2010).

Local demand for wildlife is growing world-wide (Altherr & Lameter, 2020) but the number of species affected by local exploitation is little-understood and likely greater than that affected by transboundary trade. Systematic surveys of local markets would be relatively easy to accomplish and whole specimens and some parts (such as furs and pangolin scales) can be readily identified to species. In other cases, small samples could be collected for genetic analyses. Given that local markets typically take place on fixed schedules, one or a few researchers could potentially cover important sites throughout entire districts rotationally, which would result in large data sets for at-risk taxa and could be used to inform law enforcement where to focus attention.

General and specific habitat studies throughout Nepal are also needed. Great strides have been made in modeling habitats to predict where species are found (Yonzon, Jones & Fox, 1991; Smith, Ahearn & McDougal, 1998; Kafley, 2008) or to locate potential areas for

reintroduction (Aryal, Brunton & Raubenheimer, 2013; O'Neil & Bump, 2014; Paudel, Hais & Kindlmann, 2015b). Assessing general habitat suitability can be done quickly with remote sensing techniques (Heinen & Mead, 1984; Kanagaraj *et al.*, 2011; Reddy *et al.*, 2017). The PA system is now large and well-protected, but units are not distributed proportionally throughout the ecosystems of the country (Paudel & Heinen, 2015c). High and low elevations are well-represented, but intermediate elevations and habitats are not (Hunter & Yonzon, 1993). To assess the habitat value of non-protected areas, remote sensing analyses in combination with field verification are needed (Basnet *et al.*, 2016) as are studies that explore local human uses that deplete forest biomass (Shrivastava & Heinen, 2007; Timilsina & Heinen, 2008; Dahal, McAlpine & Maron, 2014). Exploited forests can have some habitat potential (Thapa & Chapman, 2010) but they are generally lower in native diversity compared to PAs.

Finally, there are social components to conservation that can be used and expanded upon. While most field biologists are amenable to talking with local residents, they are generally not trained in techniques used in the social sciences. Local ecological knowledge (LEK), widely considered in anthropology, can be valuable in assessing presence and population trends for wild species that local people exploit or compete with (Shrestha-Acharya & Heinen, 2006; Rehage *et al.*, 2019). Given that many people use alternative local names, photographic guides are helpful in querying residents about species of interest (Edwards, Heinen & Rehage, 2016). Beginning in Costa Rica (Janzen & Hallwachs, 2011), and now used in other parts of the developing world (Schmiedel *et al.*, 2016), local residents trained as parataxonomists can be very helpful in collecting reference specimens of many taxa. While pressing plants and pinning insects are rather easy skills to learn, well-trained amateurs could also collect and document, for example, small vertebrate specimens for wet preservation and eggshells, nests, fecal, fur, scale and feather samples for genetic analyses. Local outreach, LEK and parataxonomist programs could be structured into small scale economic and social incentives to promote conservation, as has been described elsewhere for various purposes (Low & Heinen 1993; Heinen, 1994; Dinerstein *et al.*, 2013; McGinnis & Ostrom 2019). District-level offices to store specimens, and a national repository, would also be necessary, which could be met by the district forest offices located throughout Nepal and the Natural History Museum and Herbarium in Kathmandu. Funding would also be needed to train and pay local collectors.

We also contend that efforts are needed nation-wide to make recommendations and implement interventions to mitigate conflicts. There are dozens of published accounts on wildlife conflicts throughout Nepal and the Himalaya, especially concerning livestock and crop depredation and human deaths by large mammals. There is also a need to explore conflicts with many other species. For example, small mammalian carnivores, monitor lizards and raptors prey on domestic fowl and eggs; piscivorous birds and snakes prey on farmed fish; and poisonous snakes present a direct threat, especially in the lowland terai along the Indo-Nepalese border.

Wildlife extension professionals could address these conflicts on a national scale. Ideas for reducing crop damage and livestock loss by large mammals have emerged from the literature (Sapkota *et al.*, 2014).

Other simple recommendations - such as locking poultry into coops at night and plugging holes in walls and storing grains in closed bins - would reduce domestic bird depredation and the propensity for rodents, and hence snakes, to enter village households. In any case, extension personnel with conflict resolution skills could prove most helpful to address these issues throughout Nepal and South Asia.

CONCLUSIONS

The main conclusions from our review are that many great strides have been made over the past half century in studying and protecting Nepal's wildlife, but further efforts are needed. It's likely that a six or low seven-figure (US\$) project funded by a major bi-or multilateral donor, headquartered in Kathmandu with operations nation-wide, would be needed to fund the entire agenda outlined here all at once. However, some of the above activities have already begun in Nepal as this review shows and, even without a large, external funding source, progress in most areas continues. NGOs such as Bird Conservation Nepal, Small Mammals Conservation and Research Foundation, CARON, Resources Himalaya and Wildlife Conservation Nepal have been active and successful in garnering funding to further their research and conservation agendas. International non-government organizations such as WWF-Nepal, IUCN-Nepal, The Mountain Institute and ICIMOD have been active for decades and successful at garnering major funding.

Most encouraging is that the foundations for expanding this research agenda are underway.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. Tej Thapa and other organizers and contributors to the First National Conference on Zoology: Biodiversity in a Changing World that took place in November, 2020, for inviting our contribution, and anonymous reviewers for their careful consideration.

REFERENCES

- Abramov, A. V., Puzachenko, A. Y. and Masuda, R. 2018. Cranial variation in the Siberian weasel *Mustela sibirica* (Carnivora, Mustelidae) and its possible taxonomic implications. *Zoological Studies* 57: e14. <https://doi.org/10.6620/ZS.2018.57-14>
- Acharya, P. R., Adhikari, H., Dahal, S., Thapa A. and S. Thapa, S. 2012. Bats of Nepal: a Field Guide. Small Mammals Conservation and Research Foundation, Vol. 11. New Baneshwor, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Adhikari, H. 2011. Species richness, distribution and threats of bats in Palpa and Kaski districts of western Nepal. *Small Mammal Mail – Bi-annual Newsletter CCINSA and RISCINSA* 2:14-20.
- Adhikari, J. N., Bhattarai, B. P. and Thapa, T. B. 2018. Factors affecting diversity and distribution of threatened water birds in and around Barandabhar corridor forest, Chitwan, Nepal. *Journal of the Natural History Museum* 30:164-179. <https://doi.org/10.3126/jnhm.v30i0.27553>
- Agrawal, V. C. and Chakraborty, S. 1971. Notes on a collection of small mammals from Nepal, with the description of a new mouse-hare (Lagomorpha: Ochotonidae). *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London, Calcutta* 24: 41-46.
- Ale, S. B., Yonzon, P. B. and Thapa, K. 2007. Recovery of snow leopard *Uncia uncia* in Sagarmatha (Mt. Everest) National Park, Nepal. *Oryx* 41:89-92. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605307001585>
- Altherr, S. and Lameter, K. 2020. The rush for the rare: Reptiles and amphibians in the European pet trade. *Animals* 10:2085. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10112085>
- Amin, R., Baral, H. S., Lamichhane, B. R., Poudyal, L. P., Lee, S and Jnawali, S. R. 2018. The status of Nepal's mammals. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 10:11361-11378. <https://dx.doi.org/10.11609/jott.3712.10.3.1161-11378>
- Appel, A., Werhahn, G., Acharya, R., Ghimerey, Y. and Adhikary, B. 2013. Small carnivores in the Annapurna Conservation Area, Nepal. *Vertebrate Zoology* 63:111-121. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/267570155>
- Aryal, P. C., M. K. Dhamala, B. P. Bhurtel, M. K. Suwal and B. Rijal. 2010. Species accounts and distribution of turtles with notes on exploitation and trade in the terai of Nepal. pp. 29-38 in *The First National Youth Conference on Environment, Himalayan Alliance for Climate Change, Kathmandu, Nepal.*
- Aryal, A., Brunton, D. and Raubenheimer, D. 2013. Habitat assessment for the translocation of blue sheep to maintain a viable population of snow leopard in the Mt. Everest region, Nepal. *Zoology and Ecology* 23:66-82. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21658003.765634>
- Aryal, A., Lamsal, R. P. and D. Raubeheimer, D. 2016. Are there sufficient prey and protected areas to sustain an increasing tiger population? *Ethology, Ecology and Evolution* 28: 117-120. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03949370.2014.1003115>
- Baral, B., Pokharel, A., Basnet, D. R., Magar, G. B. and Shah, K.B. 2019. A first photographic record of a yellow-bellied weasel *Mustela kathiaha* Hodgson, 1835 (Mammalia: Carnivora: Mustelidae) from western Nepal. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 11:14753-14756. <https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.5208.11.13.14753-14756>
- Baral, H. S., Regmi, U. R., Poudyal, L. P. and Acharya, R. 2012. Status and conservation of birds in Nepal. In. *Biodiversity Conservation in Nepal: A Success Story.* Department of National Parks and Wildlife Conservation, Kathmandu, Nepal. pp 61-90.
- Baral, H. S. Sah, S.K. 2008. *Wild Mammals of Nepal.* Himalayan Nature, Kathmandu, Nepal. pp 267.
- Baral, N., Kaul, S., Heinen, J. T. and Ale, S. B. 2017. Estimating the value of the world heritage site designation: A case study from Sagarmatha (Mount Everest) National Park, Nepal. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism* 25:1776-1791. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2017.1310866>
- Baral, N., Timilsina, N. and Tamang, B. 2003. Status of the Bengal Florican *Houbaropsis bengalensis* in Nepal. *Forktail* 19:51-55.
- Basnet, T. B., Rokaya, M. B., Bhattaria, B. P. and

- Munzbergova, Z. 2016. Heterogeneous landscapes on steep slopes at low altitudes as hotspots of bird diversity in a hilly region of Nepal in the central Himalayas. *PLOS One*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0150498>
- Beever, E.A., Ray, C., Mote, P. W. and Wilkening, J. L. 2010. Testing alternate models of climate-mediated extirpation. *Ecological Applications* 20:164-178. <https://doi.org/10.1890/08>
- Bhattacharyya, S. and Ishtiaq, F. 2019. Noninvasive genetic sampling reveals population genetic structure in the Royle's pika, *Ochotona roylei*, in the western Himalaya. *Ecology and Evolution* 9:180-191. <https://doi.org/10.101002/ece3.4707>
- Bhattarai, S., Pokeral, C.P., Lamichhane, B. and Subedi, N. 2017. Herpetofauna of a Ramsar site: The Beeshazar and associated lakes, Nepal. *Reptiles and Amphibians* 24:17-29.
- Bock, C. E. and Root. T. L. 1981. The Christmas bird count and avian ecology. *Studies in Avian Biology* 6:17-23.
- Chen, Y., Liu, H. and Heinen, J. 2019. Challenges in the conservation of an over-harvested plant species with high economic value. *Sustainability* 11(15) 4194. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11154194>
- Chetri, M., Odden, M., McCarthy, T. and Wegge, P. 2014. First record of a steppe polecat (*Mustela eversmanii*) in Nepal. *Small Carnivore Conservation* 51:79-81.
- Chetri, M., Odden, M., Sharma, K., Flagstad, O. and Wegge, P. 2019. Estimating snow leopard density using fecal DNA in a large landscape in north-central Nepal. *Global Ecology and Conservation* 17:e00548. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j/gecco.2019.e00548](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00548)
- Chettri, K. and Chhetry, D. T. 2013. Diversity of snakes in Sarlahi District, Nepal. *Our Nature* 11:201-207. <https://doi.org/10.3126/on.v11i2.9600>
- Corlett, R. T. 1998. Frugivory and seed dispersal by vertebrates in the Oriental (Indomalayan) Region. *Biological Reviews* 73: 413-438. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j/1469-185x.1998.tb00178.x>
- Dahal, B. R., McAlpine, C. A. and Maron, M. 2014. Bird conservation values of off-reserve forests in Nepal. *Forest Ecology and Management* 323:8-38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.03.033>
- Deepak, V. and Karanth, P. 2018. Aridification driven diversification of fan-throated lizards from the Indian Subcontinent. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 120:53-62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2017.11.016>
- Dimitrov, D., Nogues-Bravo, D., Scharff, N. 2012. Why do tropical mountains support exceptionally high biodiversity? The Eastern Arc Mountains and drivers of *Saintpaulia* diversity. *PLoS ONE* 7(11): e48908. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0048908>
- Dinerstein, E. 2003. The Return of the Unicorn: The Natural History and Conservation of the Greater one-horned Rhinoceros (*Rhinoceros unicornis*) in Nepal. Columbia University Press, New York. pp 384.
- Dinerstein, E., Varma, K., Wikramanayake, E., Powell, G., Lumpkin, S., Naidoo, R., Korchinsky, M., Del Valle, C., Lohani, S., Seidensticker, J. and Joldersma, D., 2013. Enhancing conservation, ecosystem services, and local livelihoods through a wildlife premium mechanism. *Conservation Biology*, 27(1):14-23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j/1523-1739.2012.01959.x>
- Dinerstein, E., Olson, D., Joshi, A., Vynne, C., Burgess, N. D., Wikramayake, E. 2017. An ecoregion-based approach to protecting half the terrestrial realm. *BioScience* 67:534-545. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bix014>
- DNPWC. 2019. Pangolin Monitoring Guideline for Nepal. Department of National Parks and Wildlife Conservation, Ministry of Forests and Environment, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Dongol, Y. and Heinen, J. T. 2012. Pitfalls of CITES implementation in Nepal: A policy gap analysis. *Environmental Management* 50:181-192. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-012-9896-4>
- Edwards, C. J., Heinen, J. T. and Rehage, J. S. 2016. Recreational angler perspectives of nonnative fish. *Human Dimension of Wildlife* 21:144-157. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10871209.2015.1111472>
- Fjeldsa, J. 2013. The global diversification of songbirds (Oscines) and the build-up of the Sino-Himalayan diversity hotspot. *Chinese Birds* 4:132-143. <https://doi.org/10.5122/cbirds.2013.0014>
- Galligan, T. H., Bhusal, K. P., Paudel, K. and Chapagain, D. 2020. Partial recovery of endangered *Gyps* vulture populations in Nepal. *Bird Conservation International* 30:87-102. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959270919000169>
- Ghimire, H. R., Phuyal, S. and Shah, K. B. 2014. Protected species outside protected areas: People's attitudes, threats and conservation of the yellow monitor (*Varanus flavescens*) in far-western Nepal. *Journal for Nature Conservation* 22:497-503. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2014.08.003>
- Glor, R. E. 2010. Phylogenetic insights on adaptive radiation. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 41:251-270. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173447>
- Gomez, L. and Bouhuys, J. 2018. Illegal otter trade in southeast Asia. *TRAFFIC Report*. Southeast Asia Regional Office, Selangor, Malaysia. pp 36.
- Harris, R. L. and Nicol, S. C. 2010. The effectiveness of hair traps for surveying mammals: results of a study in sandstone caves in the Tasmanian southern midlands. *Australian Mammalogy* 32:62-66. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AM09019>
- Heinen, J. T. and Mead, R. A. 1984. Simulating the effects of clear cuts on deer habitat in the San Juan National Forest, Colorado. *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing* 10:17-24. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07038992.1984.10855052>
- Heinen, J. T. and Thapa, B. B. 1988. A feasibility study of a proposed trekking trail in Chitwan National Park, Nepal. *Journal of the Forestry Institute (Nepal)* 10:19-28.
- Heinen, J.T. 1990. Range and status updates and new sighting of birds in Kosi Tappu Wildlife Re

- serve. *Journal of the Natural History Museum (Nepal)* 11:41-49.
- Heinen, J. T. and Leisure, B. 1993. A new look at the Himalayan fur trade. *Oryx* 27:231-238. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605300028143>
- Heinen, J. T. 1994. A behavioral ecological perspective on the issue of garbage. *Human Ecology Review* 1(1): 56-61. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2407143>.
- Heinen, J. T. 1995. The faunal collapse of large mammals in the reserves of the Nepalese Terai. *Tiger Paper* 22:18-24.
- Heinen, J.T. and Chapagain, D. 2002. The expansion of species protection in Nepal: Advances and pitfalls in new efforts to implement and comply with CITES. *Journal of International Wildlife Law and Policy* 5:235-250. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13880290209354012>
- Heinen, J.T. and Sah, J. P. 2006. Ramsar in Action: National wetland conservation policy implementation in Nepal and its regional implications for the conservation of migratory birds. *Himalayan Journal of Development and Democracy Special Issue (2006)*: 34-37.
- Heinen, J.T. and Shrestha-Acharya, R. 2011. The non-timber forest products sector in Nepal: Emerging policy issues in plant conservation and utilization. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 30 (6):543-562. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10549811.2011.567376>.
- Heinen, J.T. and Paudel, P. K. 2015. On the translocation of wild buffalo Bubalis arnee in Nepal: Are feral backcrosses worth conservation? *Conservation Science* 3: 11-21.
- Heinen, J. T., Baral N., Paudel P. K., and Sah J. P. 2019. On the road to sustainability: A review of a half century of biodiversity conservation successes in Nepal and some thoughts on future needs. In, eds. A. N. Bakar, A.K. and M. N. Suratman, M.N. (Eds.). *Protected Areas and Sustainability*. Intechopen, London, UK. 71-88 pp. [https://doi.org/10.5772.intechopen.84617](https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.84617)
- Heinen, J. T. and Castillo, B. 2019. Browse-mediated succession by deer and elk 40 Y after a clearcut in Northern Lower Michigan. *American Midland Naturalist* 181:81-91. <https://doi.org/10.1674/0003-0031-181.1.81>
- Heyer, R. M., Donnelly, M. A., Foster, M. and McDiarmid, R. 2014. *Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity: Standard Methods for Amphibian*. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC. p 364.
- Hodgson, B. H. 1845. On the rats, mice, and shrews of the central region of Nepal. *Journal of Natural History* 34:266-270.
- Hu, Y., Thapa, A., Fan, H., Ma, T., Wu, Q., Ma, S., Zhang, D., Wang, B., Li, M., Yan, L. and Wei, F., 2020. Genomic evidence for two phylogenetic species and long-term population bottlenecks in red pandas. *Science advances*, 6(9), p.eaax5751
- Hull, H. F., Montes, J. M and Mann, J. M. 1986. Plague masquerading as gastrointestinal illness. *Western Journal of Medicine* 145:485-487. [PMC1306978](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1306978/)
- Hunter, M. L. 2007. Climate change and moving species: Furthering the debate on assisted coloninorthwestern Costa Rica. *PloS One*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0018123> zation. *Conservation Biology* 21:1356-1358. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4620960>
- Hunter, M. L. and Yonzon, P. B. 1993. Altitudinal distributions of birds, mammals, people, forests and parks in Nepal. *Conservation Biology* 7:420-423. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2386441>
- Ingles, J. M., Newton, P. N., Rands. M. R. W. and Bowden, C. G. R. 1980. The first record of a rare murine rodent *Diomys* and further records of three shrew species from Nepal. *Bulletin of the British Museum of Natural History (Zoology)* 39:205-211. www.researchgate.net
- Inouye, D. W., Barr, K. B. Armitage and B. D. Inouye. 2000. Climate change is affecting altitudinal migrants and hibernating species. *PNAS* 97:1630-1633. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.97.4.1630>
- Inskipp, C. and Baral, H. S. 2010. Potential impact of agriculture on Nepal birds. *Our Nature* 8:270-312. <https://doi.org/10.3126/on.v8i1.4339>
- Inskipp, C., Baral, H. S., Inskipp, T., Phuyal, S., Bhatt, T. R., Poudyal, A. P. L. 2017. Nepal's national list of birds. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 9:9700-9722. www.zsi.zooreach.org.
- Janzen, D. H. and Hallwachs, W. 2011. Joining inventory by parataxonomists with DNA barcoding of a large complex tropical conserved wildland in
- Jennings, A. P. and Veron, G. 2015. Predicted distributions, niche comparisons and conservation status of the spotted linsang (*Priodon pardicolor*) and banded linsang (*Priodon linsang*). *Mammal Research* 60:107-116. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13364-014-0204-y>
- Jnawali, S.R., Baral, H.S., S. Lee, S., Acharya, K.P., Upadhyay, G. P. and Pandey, M. 2011. *The Status of Nepal's Mammals: A National Red List Series*. IUCN and Department of National Parks and Wildlife Conservation, Kathmandu, Nepal. p 267.
- Joshi, A. R., Gharshelis, D. L. and Smith, J. L. D. 1995. Home ranges of sloth bears in Nepal: Implications for conservation. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 59:204-214. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3808932>
- Joshi, A.R., Smith, J.L.D. and Cuthert, F.J. 1995. Influence of food distribution and predation pressure on spacing behavior in palm civets. *Journal of Mammalogy* 76:1205-1212. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1382613>
- Kafley, H. 2008. Habitat evaluation and suitability modelling of *Rhinoceros unicornis* in Chitwan National Park, Nepal: A geospatial analysis. Project Report to World Wildlife Fund and ITTO. Institute of International Education, ALCOA Foundation.
- Kanagaraj, R., Wiegand, T., Kramer-Schadt, S., Anwat, M. and Goyal, S. P. 2011. Assessing habitat suitability for tiger in the fragmented Terai Arc Landscape of India and Nepal. *Ecography* 34:970-981. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2010.06482.x>
- Kang, H. J., Kosoy, M. Y., Shrestha, S. K., Shrestha, 49.

- M. P., Pavlin, J. A. and Gibbons, R. B. 2011. Genetic diversity of *Thottapalayam* virus, a Hantavirus harbored by the Asian house shrew (*Suncus murinus*) in Nepal. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **85**:540-545. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2011.11-0034>
- Katuwal, H. B. 2016. Sarus Crane in lowland Nepal: Is it declining really? *Journal of Asia-Pacific Biodiversity* **9**:259-262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.japb.2016.06003>
- Kaul, R. and Shakya, S. 2001. Spring call counts of some Galliformes in the Pipar Reserve, Nepal. *Forktail* **17**:75-80. www.orientalbirdclub.org
- Khadka, B. B., Yadav, B. P., Aryak, N. and Aryal, A. 2017a. Rediscovery of the hispid hare (*Caprolagus hispidus*) in Chitwan National Park, Nepal after three decades. *Conservation Science* **5**:10-12. www.conservation-science.org
- Khadka, B. B., Acharya, P. M. and Rajbhandari, S. L. 2017b. Population status and species diversity of wetland birds in the Rapti and Narayani rivers and associated wetlands of Chitwan National Park, Nepal. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* **9**:10297-10306. <https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.2364.9.6.1097-10306>
- Kharel, M. and Chhetry, D. T. 2012. Turtles of Kankai (Mai) River and their ethno-medicinal uses. *Nepalese Journal of Biosciences* **2**:126-133. <https://doi.org/10.3126/njbs.v2i0.750>
- Khatiwada, J. R. and Ghimire, B. C. 2009. Conservation status of *Varanus flavescens* in Chitwan, Nepal. *Biawak* **3**:100-1005.
- Khatiwada, J. R., Shu, G. C., Wang, S. H., Thapa, A., Wang, B. and Jiang, J. 2017. A new species of the genus *Microhyla* (Anura: Microhylidae) from eastern Nepal. *Zootaxa* **4254**:221-239. <http://zoobank.org/um:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:FA412A05-7C7E-40AA-9958>
- Khatiwada, J. R., Zhao, T., Chen, Y., Wang, B., F. Xie and Cannetella D. C. 2019. Amphibian community structure along elevation gradients in eastern Nepal Himalaya. *BMC Ecology* **19**, 10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12898-019-0234-z>
- Koju, N. P., Chalise, M. K. and Kyes, R. C. 2013. Forage selection and conservation threats on pika (*Ochotona* spp.) at Gosainkunda area, Langtang National Park, Nepal. *International Journal of Ecology* **19**:57-62.
- Kuniyal, C. P., Heinen, J. T., Negi, B. S., Kaim, J. C. 2019. Is cultivation of *Saussurea costus* (Asterales: Asteraceae) sustaining its conservation? *Journal of Threatened Taxa* **11**(13): 549-555. <https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.3581.11.13.14745-14752>
- Lamichhane, B. R., Kadariya, R., Subedi, N., Dhakal, B. K., M. Dhakel, M. and Thapa, K. 2016. Rusty-spotted cat: 12th cat species discovered in Western terai of Nepal. *Cat News* **64**:30-33.
- Link, W. A. and Sauer, J. R. 1998. Estimating population change from count data: application to the North American Breeding Bird Survey. *Ecological Applications* **8**:258-268. [https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761\(1998\)008\[1258:EPCFCD\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(1998)008[1258:EPCFCD]2.0.CO;2)
- Liu, H., Luo, Y. B., Heinen, J. T., Bhat, M., Liu, Z. L. 2014. Eat your orchid and have it too: A potentially new conservation formula for Chinese epiphytic medicinal orchids. *Biodiversity and Conservation* **23**(5): 1215-1228. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-014-0661-2>
- Low, B. S. and Heinen, J. T. 1993. The environment, Everybody's talking about it, but...*Michigan Quarterly Review* **32**(1):41-
- Maskey, T. M. 1989. Movement and survival of captive-reared gharial (*Gavialis gangeticus*) in the Narayani River, Nepal. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Florida.
- McDiarmid, R. W., Foster, M. S., Guyer, C., Chernoff N. and Gibbons, J. W. 2012. *Reptile Biodiversity: Standard Methods for Inventory and Monitoring*. University of California Press, Berkeley. pp 424.
- McGinnis, M. D and Ostrom. 2014. Social-ecological system framework: Initial changes and continuing challenges. *Ecology and Society* **19**(2): 12 pp. <https://www.jstor.stsble/26269580>
- Moe, S. R. 1993. Mineral content and wildlife use of soil licks in southwestern Nepal. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **71**:933-936. <https://doi.org/10.1139/z93-121>
- Motokawa, M., Harada, M. and Shrestha, K. C. 2008. Karyotypes of three shrew species (*Soriculus nigrescens*, *Epidoriculus caudatus* and *Epidoriculus scaratus*) from Nepal. *Integrative Zoology* **3**:180-185. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-4877.2008.00097.x>
- Mudappa, D. 2013. Herpestids, viverrids and mustelids. In *Johnsinch, A. J. T. and Manjrekar, N. (Eds). Mammals of South Asia, 1*. Universities Press, Hyderabad, India. pp 471-498.
- Nepal, S. K. 2002. Mountain tourism and sustainable development: Ecology, economics and ethics. *Mountain Research and Development* **22**:104-109. [https://doi.org/10.1659/0276-4741\(2002\)022\[0104:MEASDJ\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1659/0276-4741(2002)022[0104:MEASDJ]2.0.CO;2)
- Nepali, P. B. and Singh, N. B. 2020. Species diversity and habitat preferences of amphibian fauna in Gulmi District, Nepal. *International Journal of Zoology and Research* **10**:1-14. ISSN(P): 2278-8816. www.tjprc.org
- Oli, M. K. 1994. Snow leopards and blue sheep in Nepal: Densities and predator: prey ratios. *Journal of Mammalogy* **75**:998-1004. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1382482>
- O'Neil, S. T. and Bump, J. K. 2014. Modeling habitat potential for elk expansion in Michigan, USA. *Wildlife Biology Practice* **10**:111-131. <https://doi.org/10.2461/wbp.2014.10.14>
- Osborn, H. F. 1902. The law of adaptive radiation. *The American Naturalist* **36**:353-363.
- Pandey, D. B., Subedi-Pandey, G., Devkota, K. and Goode, M. 2016. Public perceptions of snakes and snakebite management: Implications for conservation and human health in southern Nepal. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine* **12**:22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13002-016-0092-0>
- Pandey, D. P., Sapkota, S., Lama, H. M., Lama, B., Pokharel, K. and Goode, M. 2018. New records of snakes from Chitwan National Parks and vicinity, central Nepal. *Herpetological Notes* **11**:679-696.

- Pant, G. R., Horton, D. L., Dahal, M., Rai, J. N., Ide, S. and Leech, S. 2011. Characterization of rabies virus from a human case in Nepal. *Archives of Virology* 156:681-684. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-010-0868-9>
- Paudel, P.K., K.P. Acharya, H.S. Baral, J.T. Heinen, S.R. Jnawali. 2020. Trends, patterns and networks of illicit wildlife trade in Nepal: A national synthesis. *Conservation Science and Practice* 2(9): e247. <https://doi.org/10.1111/csp2.247>doi: 10.1111/csp2.247).
- Paudel, P. K. and Heinen, J. T. 2015a. Think globally, act locally: On the status of threatened fauna in the Central Himalayas of Nepal. *Geoforum* 64:192-195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2015.06.021>
- Paudel, P. K., Hais, M. and Kindlmann, P. 2015b. Habitat suitability models of mountain ungulates: Identifying potential areas for conservation. *Zoological Studies* 54:37. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40555-015-0116-9>
- Paudel, P. K. and Heinen, J. T. 2015c. Conservation planning in the Nepal Himalayas: Effectively (re)designing reserves for heterogeneous landscapes. *Applied Geography* 56:127-134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2014.11.018>
- Paudel, P. K. and Sipos, J. 2014. Conservation status affects elevation al gradient in bird diversity in the Himalaya: A new perspective. *Global Ecology and Conservation* 2: 338-348. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2014.10.012>
- Pearch, M. J. 2011. A review of the biological diversity and distribution of small mammal taxa in the terrestrial ecoregions and protected areas of Nepal. *Zootaxa* 3072:1-286.
- Pokharel, R. K. and Suvedi, M. 2007. Indicators for measuring the success of Nepal's community forestry program: A local perspective. *Research in Human Ecology* 14: 68-75. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24707644>
- Poudel, B. S., Spooner, P. G., and Matthews, A. 2015. Temporal shifts in activity patterns of Himalayan marmots in relation to pastoralism. *Behavioral Ecology* 26: 1345-1351. <https://doi.org/10.1093/beneco/arv083>
- Pradhan, N., Sharma, A. N., Sherchan, A. M., Chhetri, S., Shrestha, P. and Kilpatrick, C. W. 2019. Further assessment of the genus *Neodon* and the description of a new species from Nepal. *Plos One*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219157>
- Rashnath, R. and Divakar, N. 2019. Solving species quandary: Why awareness programs are pivotal in snake conservation. *Herpetological Journal* 29:214-219. <https://doi.org/10.33256/hj29.4.214218>
- Rayamajhi, T., Lamichhane, S., Gurung, A., Regmi, P. R., Pokheral, C. P. and Lamichhane, B. R. 2019. First camera trap documentation of the crab-eating mongoose *Herpestes urva* (Hodgson, 1836) (Carnivora: FeliformaHerpestidae) in Barandbhar Corridor Forest in Chitwan, Nepal. *Journal of Threatened Taxa*. 11(8):14051-14055.<https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.4567.11.8.14051-14055>
- Reddy, C. S., OPasha, S. V., Satish, K. V., Saranya, K. R. L., Jha C. S. and Krishna Murthy Y. V. N. 2017. Quantifying nationwide land cover and historical changes in forests of Nepal (1930-2014): Implications on forest fragmentation. *Nature Climate Change* 27:91-107. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-017-1423-8>
- Regmi, G. R., Huettmann, F., Ghale, T. R. and Lama, R. P. 2020. Pallas's cat in Annapurna, Nepal: What we know thus far and what is to come. In Regmi, G. R. and Huettmann, F. (Eds.). *Hindu-Kush Himalaya Watersheds Downhill: Landscape Ecology and Conservation Perspectives*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. pp 401-408.
- Rehage, J. S., Santos, R. O., Kroloff, E. K. N., Heinen, J. T., Lai, Q. and Black, B. D. 2019. Has the quality of bonefish changed over the past 40 years? Using local ecological knowledge to quantitatively inform population declines in the South Florida flats fishery. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 102:285-298. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-018-0831-2>
- Rhodin, A. G. J., Stanford, C. B., van Dijk, P. P., Eisemberg, C., Luiselli, L. and Mittermeier, R. 2018. Global conservation status of turtles and tortoises (Order Testudines). *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* 17:135-161. <https://doi.org/10.2744/CCB-1348.1>
- Roberge, J. T. and Angelstam, P. 2004. Usefulness of the umbrella species concept as a conservation tool. *Conservation Biology* 18:76-85. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2004.00450.x>
- Robson, M. S. and Humphrey, S. R. 1985. Inefficiency of scent-stations for monitoring river otter populations. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 13:558-561. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3782689>
- Sapkota, S., Aryal, A., Baral, S. R., Haywood, M. W. and Raubenheimer, D. 2014. Economic analysis of electric fencing for mitigating human-wildlife conflict in Nepal. *Journal of Resources and Ecology* 5:237-243. <https://doi.org/10.5814/j.issn.1674-764x.2014.03.006>
- Savage, M. and B. Shrestha, B. 2018. The illegal trade in otter pelts in Nepal. *Traffic Bulletin* 30:59-63.
- Scheele, B.C., Hollanders, M., Hofman, E.P., Newell, D.A., Lindenmayer, M., Gilbert, D.J. and Grogan, L.F. 2021. Conservation translocations for amphibian species threatened by chytrid fungus: A review, conceptual framework and recommendations. *Conservation Science and Practice* 3(11). e524. <https://doi.org/10.1111/csp2.524>
- Schleich, H. H. and Kastle, W. 2002. *Amphibians and Reptiles of Nepal*. Koeltz Scientific Books, Koenigstein, Germany. pp 1021.
- Schmiedel, U., Araya, Y., Bortolotto, M. I., Boeckenhoff, L., Hallwachs, W. and Janzen, D. 2016. Contributions of paracologists and parataxonomists to research, conservation and social development. *Conservation Biology* 30:506-519. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12661>
- Sharma, S. K., Chappuis, F., Jha, N., Bovier, P. A., Loutan, L. and Koirala, S. 2004. Impact of snake bites and determinants of fatal outcomes

- in southeastern Nepal. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 71:234-238. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2004.71.234>
- Sharma, S. K., Pandey, D. P., Sah, K. B., Tillack, F., Chappuis, F. and Thapa, C. 2013. *Venomous Snakes of Nepal: A Photographic Guide*. B. P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Kathmandu, Nepal. pp 76.
- Shrestha-Acharya, R. and Heinen, J. T. 2006. Emerging policy issues on non-timber forest products from Nepal. *Himalaya* 26:50-53. <https://digitalcommons.macalestr.edu/himalaya/vol26/iss1/12>
- Shrivastava, R. J. and Heinen, J. T. 2007. A microsite analysis of resource use around Kaziranga National Park, Assam, India: Implication for conservation and development planning. *Journal of Environment and Development* 16:207-226. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1070496507301064>
- Singh, P. B., Subedi, P., Garson, P. J. and Poudyal, L. 2011. Status, habitat use and threats of Cheer Pheasant *Catreus wallickii* in and around Dhor Patan Hunting Reserve, Nepal. *International Journal of Galliformes Conservation* 2:22-30. www.researchgate.net
- Sinha, A. K. 1989. *Geology of the Central Himalaya*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, USA. pp 219.
- SMCRF 2019. Site specific Action Plan for Bats in the Kathmandu Valley, Nepal. Small Mammals Conservation and Research Foundation. A draft submitted to Rufford Small Grants Foundation, UK.
- Smith, J. L. D. 1993. The role of dispersal in structuring the Chitwan tiger population. *Behaviour* 124:165-195. <https://doi.org/10.1163/156853993X00560>
- Smith, J. L. D., Ahearn, S. C. and McDougal, C. 1998. Landscape analysis of tiger distribution and habitat quality in Nepal. *Conservation Biology* 12:1338-1346. <https://doi.org/j/1523-1739.1998.97068.x>
- Smith, D. W., Peterson, R. and Houston, D. B. 2003. Yellowstone after wolves. *Bioscience* 53:330-340. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2003\)053\[0330:YAW\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2003)053[0330:YAW]2.0.CO;2)
- Subba, S.A., Malla, S., Dhakal, M., Thapa, B.B., Bhandari, L.B., K. and Ojha, K. 2014. Ruddy Mongoose *Herpestes smithii*: a new species for Nepal. *Small Carnivore Conservation* 51:88-89. www.researchgate.net
- Suwal, T .L., Thapa, A., Gurung, S., Aryal, P .C., Basnet, H. and Basnet, K. 2020. Predicting the potential distribution and habitat variables associated with pangolins in Nepal. *Global Ecology and Conservation* p.e01049.
- Telwala, Y., Brook, B. W., Manish, K. and Pandit, M. K. 2013. Climate-induced elevational range shifts and increase in plant species richness in a Himalayan biodiversity epicenter. *PloS One*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0057103>
- Terborgh 1974. Preservation of natural diversity: The problem of extinction-prone species. *Bioscience* 24:715-722. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1297090>
- Thapa, S. and Chapman, D. S. 2010. Impacts of resource extraction on forest structure and diversity in Bardia National Park, Nepal. *Forest Ecology and Management* 259:641-649. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.11.023>
- Thapa, S. 2014. A checklist of mammals of Nepal. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 6:6061-6072. <https://doi.org/10.11609/JoTT.o3511.6061-72>
- Thapa, S., Katuwal, H. B., Koirala, S., Dahal, B. V., Devkota, B. and Rana. 2016. *Sciuridae (Order: Rodentia) in Nepal*. Small Mammals Conservation and Research Foundation, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Thapa, S., Katuwal, H. B., Gurung, R., Kusi, N., Devkota, B. and Shrestha, B. 2018. *Pikas in Nepal*. Small Mammals Conservation and Research Foundation, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Thapamagar, T., Youlatos, D., Bhusal, D. R. and Bhandari, S. 2021. Habitat and nest use by hoary-bellied squirrels (*Callosciurus pygerythrus*): Preliminary observations in central Nepal. *Tropical Ecology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42965-020-00116-3>
- Thorn, J. 2019. Adaptation from below to changes in species distribution, habitat and climate in agro-ecosystems in the Terai plkains of Nepal. *Ambio* 48:1482-1497. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-019-01202-0>
- Timilsina, N. and Heinen, J. T. 2008. Forest structure under different management regimes in the western lowlands of Nepal: A comparative analysis. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 26:112-131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/105498107-1879628>
- Yonzon, P. B. and Hunter, M. L. 1991. Conservation of the red panda *Ailurus fulgens*. *Biological Conservation* 57:1-11. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207\(91\)90104-H](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207(91)90104-H)
- Yonzon, P. B., Jones, R and Fox, J. 1991. Geographic information systems for assessing habitat and estimating populations of red pandas in Langtang National Park, Nepal. *Ambio* 22: 285-288. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4313846>
- Zug, G. R. and Mitchell, J.C. 1995. Amphibians and reptiles in Royal Chitwan National Park, Nepal. *Asian Herpetological Research* 6:172-180

